

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,490	0	9/12/2003	Noriko Tomita	OHG 135	6341
23995	7590	06/15/2006		EXAMINER	
RABIN & I	•		LUU, CHUONG A		
1101 14TH STREET, NW SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2818	
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/660,490	TOMITA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chuong A. Luu	2818				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In provide the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.1 of SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In provide price provides above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provided provided by the office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u>	pril 2006.					
· · · —		action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 and 19-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 and 19-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	nt(s)						
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

REJECTION NOT BASED UPON PRIOR ART

Statutory Basis

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-12 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly amended limitations "leaving the substrate to stand in air containing ambient organic substances, the air being at a low temperature lower than the boiling point.....the ambient organic substances..." of the independent claims do not support in the specification.

PRIOR ART REJECTIONS

Statutory Basis

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The Rejections

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 12 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Minoru et al. (JP07-275813).

Minoru discloses a cleaning device with

(1) washing a semiconductor substrate so as to make the surface clean (see paragraphs [0007]-[0008]);

depositing a high molecular straight-chain organic compound, having a boiling point lower than the temperature of heat treatment of the wafer processing of the subsequent step, onto the clean surface of said semiconductor substrate during or after washing of said semiconductor substrate (see paragraphs [0009]-[0013] and [0044]-[0047]);

- (2); (8) wherein said high molecular straight-chain organic compound is selected from substances of lower boiling point than 500°C (see paragraphs [0044]-[0047]);
- (3); (9); (25) wherein said high molecular straight-chain organic compound of a single type (see paragraphs [0044]-[0047]);
- (6); (12) wherein, after deposition of said high molecular straight-chain organic compound onto the surface of the semiconductor substrate, said high molecular straight-chain organic compound further eliminated by the heat treatment temperature (see paragraphs [0044]-[0047]);
 - (7); (23) washing a semiconductor substrate (see paragraphs [0007]-[0008]);

Application/Control Number: 10/660,490 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

depositing a high molecular straight-chain organic compound having a boiling point lower than the temperature of heat treatment of the wafer processing of the subsequent step onto the surface of said semiconductor substrate by spin coating which liquid containing the high molecular straight-chain organic compound and pure water discharged from a spray nozzle while rotating the semiconductor substrate during or after washing of the semiconductor substrate (see paragraphs [0009]-[0013] and [0043]-[0047]);

(22) comprising keeping the substrate in a clean room containing ambient organic compounds having a molecular weight lower than that of the high molecular straight-chain organic compound (see paragraphs [0009]-[0013] and [0044]-[0047]);

(24) wherein the straight-chain organic compound does not contain unsaturated bonds (see paragraphs [0009]-[0013] and [0044]-[0047]).

PRIOR ART REJECTIONS

Statutory Basis

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The Rejections

Art Unit: 2818

Claims 4-5 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minoru et al. (JP07-275813) in view of Shimizu et al. (U.S. 5,827,444).

Minoru discloses the claimed invention except for the high molecular straight-chain organic compound is cholesterin (C₂₇H₄₆O) and behenic acid (C₂₁H₄₃COOH). However, Shimizu discloses a magnetic fluid with (4); (5); (10); (11) wherein the high molecular straight-chain organic compound is cholesterin (C₂₇H₄₆O) and behenic acid (C₂₁H₄₃COOH) (see column 4, lines 1-4; column 2, lines 49-51). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the suitable materials to achieve its objective, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minoru et al. (JP07-275813) in view of Shinozaki (U.S. 6,432,481 B1).

Minoru teaches the above outlined features except for wherein the subsequent step includes one of thermal oxidation and reduced pressured CVD. However, Shinozaki discloses a recording material wherein heating causes surface tension inclination with (19); (20) wherein the subsequent step includes one of thermal oxidation and reduced pressured CVD (see column 23, lines 59-67 and column 24, lines 1-6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the suitable materials to achieve its objective, since it has been held

Art Unit: 2818

to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known process on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 3, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that JP'813 does not disclose polyorganosiloxane as being applied after washing. However, The newly amended limitations "leaving the substrate to stand in air containing ambient organic substances, the air being at a low temperature lower than the boiling point.....the ambient organic substances..." of the independent claims do not support in the specification.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/660,490

Art Unit: 2818

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong A. Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1902. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:15-2:45).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Chuona Anh Luu Patent Examiner

Mugall

June 7, 2006